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Mean - The arithmetic mean is computed for each CIRP Construct. The CIRP Freshman Survey Constructs and the non-longitudinal YFCY Constructs have been scaled to a mean of 50 and a standard deviation of 10 . The ongitudinal YFCY construct angituainal YFCY construc ores have been adjusted ords downwards from ean of 50 during the IRT coring process depending on direction of change in the population, the standar deviation remains 10 .

Mean charts - Provide a visual display of relevant construct scores for your institution and two comparison groups. The $Y$-axis is defined by the highest and lowest possible construct score. Me cores are represented by circles. The numbers at the top and bottom of the vertical line re values for the 75th and 25th percentile.

## Statistical significance -

Uses t-test to examine the difference between the mean onstruct score on the mean sestran Survey and YFCY. Constructs with mean differences that are larger tha rould be expected by chance noted with one, two, or ree stars, which correspon o the three standard levels of significance (*p<.05, **p<.01, and ${ }^{* *}$ p $<.001$ ). Statistical significance measures the extent to which a difference is occurring by chance, not the xtent to which a difference is mortant Large sample size tee those in the comparison roups) tend to generate statistical significance even hough the magnitude of the ifference may be small and ot practically significant. In order to provide additional context to statistical
significance, effect sizes are provided.

The scores for the longitudinal CIRP Constructs were derived using Item Response Theory (IRT) methods specifically designed to measure within-person change. This allows institutions to measure change in their student population over time and to compare the change in their student population to that of their comparison groups. The top portion of this report shows comparative information based on the mean score of a construct and the bottom portion shows the information based on the percentage of students who score in the high, average and low score groups for the construct.


Your Institution
decreased by 1.3.
69.9

Comp Group 1 - The first comparison group is based on your institution's type and control.

Comp Group 2 - The second comparison group is based on a similar grouping of instiutuion by type and control.

Standard deviation Measures the variability around the mean. A small standard deviation indicates that the responses for the construct tend to be very close to the mean, whereas a large standard deviation indicates
that the responses are spread over a larger range of response options.

Effect size - Determines the practical significance of the mean difference between the TFS and the YFCY. It is calculated by dividing the mean differences between the TFS and the YFCY by the standard deviation of the YFCY. Generally, an effect size of . 2 is considered small, .5 medium, and .8 large. A positive effect size indicates YFCY is greater than the TFS: a negative sign indicates that the construct score of the YFCY is smaller than the TFS.

Survey items and estimation "weights" - The survey items used in the creation of the CIRP Construct are presented in the order in which they contribute to th construct along with the estimation weights generated in IRT. Items that tap into a trait more effectively are given greater weight in the estimation process.

Survey items and estimation "weights": Rate yourselfo on each of the following traits as compared with the average person your age: Academic ability
Self-confidence (intellectua)

* Srive to to achieve
$14.1 \underbrace{}_{\text {TFS }}$
14.1
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| Tarleton State University | Your Institution |  |  | Comp Group 1 |  |  | Comp Group 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TFS | YFCY | Change | TFS | YFCY | Change | TFS | YFCY | Change |
| Longitudinal Mean Report Total $(\mathrm{n})$ Mean Standard deviation Significance Effect size | $\begin{array}{r} 110 \\ 51.7 \\ 9.41 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 110 \\ 50.0 \\ 10.93 \\ \\ -0.15 \end{array}$ | $-1.6$ | $\begin{array}{r} 224 \\ 52.5 \\ 9.35 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 224 \\ 52.1 \\ 10.63 \\ \\ -0.03 \end{array}$ | -0.4 | 1,061 53.5 8.68 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,061 \\ 53.2 \\ 10.52 \\ \\ -0.03 \end{array}$ | -0.3 |
| 25th percentile 75th percentile | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 45.5 \\ & 57.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39.8 \\ & 57.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -5.7 \\ & -0.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46.3 \\ & 59.2 \end{aligned}$ | 44.7 59.8 | $\begin{array}{r} -1.6 \\ 0.6 \end{array}$ | 47.8 59.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 46.4 \\ & 60.7 \end{aligned}$ | -1.4 0.9 |
| The population mean at the end of the first year increased by 0.6. | 2.7 | ur Institutio <br> 51.7 | 7.2 <br> 50.0 | 2.7 | np Group <br> 52.5 |  |  | mp Group 2 <br> 53.5 |  |
| Longitudinal Percentage Report Total (n) <br> High construct score group <br> Average construct score group <br> Low construct score group <br> Significance (based on high score group) | $\begin{array}{r} 110 \\ 34.5 \% \\ 42.7 \% \\ 22.7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 110 \\ 30.9 \% \\ 35.5 \% \\ 33.6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -3.6 \% \\ -7.3 \% \\ 10.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 224 \\ 38.4 \% \\ 43.3 \% \\ 18.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 224 \\ 38.4 \% \\ 36.2 \% \\ 25.4 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} - \\ 0.0 \% \\ -7.1 \% \\ 7.1 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,061 \\ & 43.3 \% \\ & 41.1 \% \\ & 15.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,061 \\ & 42.1 \% \\ & 36.9 \% \\ & 20.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.1 \% \\ -4.1 \% \\ 5.3 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Survey items and estimation "weights": <br> Rate yourself on each of the following traits as compared with the average person your age: <br> * Tolerance of others with different beliefs (3.01) <br> * Ability to work cooperatively with diverse people (2.74) <br> * Ability to discuss and negotiate controversial issues (2.57) <br> * Openness to having my own views challenged (2.44) <br> * Ability to see the world from someone else's perspective (2.43) | $34 .$ | Institutio score gro |  |  | p Group score gro | 4\% |  | p Group 2 score grou | $42.1 \%$ |
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