

Faculty Performance Review Summary

Nar	me: Date:	Date:	
	Midpoint 5 Year Review Follow Up On 5 Year PT	Follow Up on Annual	
1.	Teaching: Performance Rating: Exemplary (5) Satisfactory (3 & 4) No.	eeds Improvement (1 & 2)	
	Student Evals.		
	Context:		
	Peer Evals.		
	Department Head Evals:		
	Innovations:		
	Awards:		
2.	Scholarship: Performance Rating: Exemplary (5) Satisfactory (3 & 4)	Needs Improvement (1 & 2)	
	Peer Review Publications: (1)		
	(2)		
	Additional:		
	Grants Applied: Number \$		
	Grants Awarded: Number \$		
	Peer Invited Creative Activity:		
	Other Contributions:	_	
3.	Service: Performance Rating: Exemplary (5) Satisfactory (3 & 4) Need	ds Improvement (1 & 2)	
	To Students:	. , ,	
	To University:		
	To Community:		
	To Profession:		
	Awards (Services):		
	Awards (Student Success):		
4.	Individuals with a "Needs Improvement" in any ratings require a Professional		
Pre	repared By: Reviewed by:		
	repared By: Reviewed by:	Dean	
	SE – Student Evaluation PE – Peer Evaluation DHE – De	partment Head Evaluation	