



A ED 590 – Advanced Methods of Teaching Faculty Comparison/Contrast Interview

Purpose:

The purpose of this project is to allow graduate students to compare and contrast faculty positions at varying institutions of higher learning across the state or nation.

Overview:

The project will consist of students selecting individual faculty members from a junior/community college, a non-land grant institution and a land-grant institution. Each faculty member will be contacted and interviewed utilizing a battery of questions pre-developed by the student and approved by the professor.

Project Requirements:

- Development & Approval of Faculty:
 - Due Date: _____
 - Non-Tarleton faculty must be selected from across the state or nation. Tarleton faculty may assist you in selecting faculty.
 - Faculty members may only be interviewed by one class member only.
- Development & Approval of Interview Questions:
 - Due Date: _____
 - Interview questions should focus on areas that will allow the student to draw comparisons and contrasts between faculty positions at each institution.
- Interview Contact Information & Medium:
 - Due Date: _____
 - Copies of letters, emails or transcripts of phone conversations are to be created and submitted before the interview takes place.
 - Describe the method in which the faculty member will be interviewed.
- Comparison/Contrast Report Type:
 - Due Date: _____
 - Students will choose the report type they would like to make. The report type due date is before the overall project due date.

Suggestions & Overall Requirements:

- Be practical! Don't make a mountain out of a molehill!
- Each project requirement is to be submitted as a Word document.
- Don't procrastinate! Conducting a portion of the project each week will lead to success.

Evaluation & Project Due Date:

- The rubric on the following page will be utilized to evaluate the overall project.
- Overall Project Due Date:

--



**A ED 590 – Advanced Methods of Teaching
Faculty Comparison/Contrast Interview Assessment Rubric**

Student Name:

<i>Criteria</i>	<i>Excellent 10-9</i>	<i>Above Average 8-7</i>	<i>Below Average 6-5</i>	<i>Total</i>
Project Requirements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project Requirements Were Submitted on Time. ▪ Project Requirements Were Complete. ▪ Project Requirements Were of Graduate Caliber Work. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project Requirements Were Missing <u>One</u> Of the Following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ On-Time ○ Complete ○ Of Graduate Caliber Work. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project Requirements Were Missing <u>Two</u> Of the Following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ On-Time ○ Complete ○ Of Graduate Caliber Work. 	
Development & Approval of Faculty	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Faculty Members From a Land-Grant, Non-Land Grant & Jr./Community College Were Chosen ▪ Faculty Were Not Duplicated. ▪ Faculty Selected For Inquiry Not Convenience. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Faculty Requirements Were Missing <u>One</u> Of the Following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Institution Type ○ Non-Duplicates ○ Selection Philosophy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Faculty Requirements Were Missing <u>Two</u> Of the Following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Institution Type ○ Non-Duplicates ○ Selection Philosophy 	
Development & Approval of Interview Questions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Questions Were of Graduate Caliber Effort. ▪ Questions Allowed for Comparison Among Positions. ▪ Questions Allowed for Contrast Among Positions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Questions Were Missing <u>One</u> Of the Following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Graduate Caliber ○ Comparison ○ Contrast 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Questions Were Missing <u>Two</u> Of the Following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Graduate Caliber ○ Comparison ○ Contrast 	
Contact Information & Medium	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Contact Information Was Complete. ▪ Medium Allowed for an Effective Interview. ▪ Final Interview Materials Are Provided. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Information & Medium Were Missing <u>One</u> Of the Following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Contact Information ○ Effective Medium ○ Interview Materials 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Information & Medium Were Missing <u>Two</u> Of the Following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Contact Information ○ Effective Medium ○ Interview Materials 	
Comparison / Contrast Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project quality was over and above the expectations set forth by the project guide. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project quality satisfied the expectations set forth by the project guide. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project quality was below the expectations set forth by the project guide. 	
Total: (Maximum of 50 Points)				